

2012-13

CCGS *JP Tully*

## Dimethylsulfide (DMS) & Dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) Report

August 14 – August 30, 2012

Prepared by Michael Arychuk

### 1. Sample Collection

Samples were collected from all major stations (P2, P4, P12, P16, P20, P26) for DMS,  $\text{DMSP}_D$  (dissolved) &  $\text{DMSP}_T$  (total).

#### 1.1 DMS

Fourteen water samples from various depths (300m, 200m, 175m, 100m, 75m, 50m, 40m, 30m, 25m, 20m, 15m, 10m, 5m, surface) were collected at each of the stations P4, P12, P16, P20 & P26. At P2 there were eleven samples collected (100m, 75m, 50m, 40m, 30m, 25m, 20m, 15m, 10m, 5m, surface). In all cases, samples were collected in 250 ml ground glass stoppered bottles and stored in a fridge, in the dark and removed one at a time before analysis.

#### 1.2 DMSP

Six samples for both  $\text{DMSP}_D$  and  $\text{DMSP}_T$  were collected at each station; two at the surface (0m, 5m), one in the mixed layer (100m), one in the deep chlorophyll max (20m) and two in the salinity mix layer (175m, 200m). The only exception to this was P2 where there were no 175m or 200m samples, hence, only 4 samples were collected.

### 2. Analysis

#### 2.1 DMS

A sample was loaded onto the stripper and purged with UHP Nitrogen for 10 minutes at ~100 ml/min. The DMS was extracted from the water and absorbed onto a Tenax TA trap kept at -80°C. The trap was subsequently desorbed at 100°C (with a dewar containing boiling water) onto a Chromasorb 330 column which eluted onto a Flame Photometric Detector (FPD). All samples were run as soon as possible after being collected.

#### 2.2 $\text{DMSP}_D$

Approximately 50-75 ml of seawater was allowed to flow directly from the niskin into a filtration funnel containing a 0.7µm GF/F filter. The first 3.5 ml was collected in a polypropylene tube (15 ml) containing 50 µl of a 50% sulphuric acid solution. The sample was then stored in the dark and at 4°C where it would be analysed back at IOS at a later date.

### 2.3 DMSP<sub>T</sub>

3.5 ml of seawater was collected directly from the niskin into a polypropylene tube (15 ml) containing 50 µl of a 50% sulphuric acid solution. The sample was then stored in the dark and at 4°C where it would be analysed back at IOS at a later date.

## 3. Calibration

### 3.1 DMS

A four to six level calibration table was used for calculating the concentrations of DMS. The standards were prepared in water and run under the same conditions, as described above, for the samples. A calibration curve was valid for 12 hours. If analysis exceeded 12 hours, a continuing calibration standard was run to ensure the calibration curve was still within acceptable limits.

## 4. Quality Control

### 4.1 DMS

System blanks and duplicates were run approximately every 13 samples to ensure the system remained free of contamination and had acceptable reproducibility. Stripping efficiency was evaluated at the beginning of the cruise and was proven to be acceptable at over 96%.

### 4.2 DMSP

Blanks and duplicates were collected at every station. Blanks were done by simply treating MQ water as an actual sample. For example, in the case of DMSP<sub>D</sub> it was put through a separate funnel and for DMSP<sub>T</sub> it was added directly to the polypropylene tube.

## 5. Data & Results

### 5.1 DMS

Samples were overall quite high with respect to DMS on this cruise. The MQ water blanks had slight DMS detected in the early days of the cruise but as the cruise went on and more water was flushed through the MQ filters the blanks became clean.

### 5.2 DMSP

Samples are to be run at IOS within the next few months.

## 6. Conclusions

### 6.1 DMS

The system worked very well for this cruise.

### 6.2 DMSP

No problems to report.